



The Effect of Integrated Farming Methods and Farmer Training on Farmer Welfare and Agricultural Sustainability in Central Java

Apriyanto ¹, Dyah Ayu Suryaningrum ², Dhiraj Kelly Sawlani ³, Muspida ⁴, Dewa Oka Suparwata ⁵

¹ Politeknik Tunas Pemuda and irapriyanto0604@gmail.com

² Universitas Sebelas Maret and dyahsuryaningrum@staff.uns.ac.id

³ Universitas Pertahanan Republik Indonesia and DhirajKelly@gmail.com

⁴ Universitas Pattimura and muspida.pps@gmail.com

⁵ Universitas Muhammadiyah Gorontalo and suparwata_do@umgo.ac.id

Corresponding Author: irapriyanto0604@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received August, 2024

Revised October, 2024

Accepted October, 2024

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the effects of integrated farming methods and farmer training on farmer welfare and agricultural sustainability in Central Java. Utilizing a quantitative research design, data was collected from 75 farmers through a structured questionnaire with a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5. The analysis, conducted using SPSS version 26, revealed that a significant majority of farmers adopted integrated farming practices, which positively correlated with increased income and improved access to resources. Additionally, farmer training significantly enhanced knowledge and skills, leading to better perceptions of agricultural sustainability. The findings underscore the importance of integrated farming systems and effective training programs in promoting farmer welfare and ensuring long-term sustainability in agriculture.

Keywords: *Integrated farming systems, Farmer training, Farmer welfare, Agricultural sustainability, Central Java.*

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture plays an important role in Central Java's economy, being the main source of livelihood for many rural communities [1], [2], [3]. However, the sector faces various challenges, including environmental degradation, declining soil fertility, and climate change impacts that threaten agricultural productivity and farmers' welfare [4], [5], [6]. To address these challenges, there is a growing need for innovative and sustainable agricultural practices. Integrated farming systems (IFS) have emerged as a viable solution, promoting the combination of different farming practices to increase productivity while ensuring environmental sustainability [7], [8], [9].

Integrated farming involves synergistic integration of crop production, livestock management, aquaculture and agroforestry, leading to efficient resource utilisation and waste reduction [10], [11]. This holistic approach not only increases agricultural yields but also enhances the resilience of farming systems to external shocks [12], [13], [14]. Moreover, the adoption of integrated farming methods has been associated with improved farmer well-being, which includes economic benefits, social welfare, and enhanced food security [15], [16], [17]. However, successful implementation of these practices requires adequate knowledge and skills, which highlights the importance of farmer training and education.

Farmer training programmes play an important role in equipping farmers with the knowledge and skills required to effectively adopt integrated farming practices [18], [19], [20]. These programmes provide important insights into sustainable farming methods, resource management and market access, thus empowering farmers to take informed decisions [21], [22], [23], [24]. In Central Java, where the agricultural landscape is diverse, targeted training initiatives can significantly contribute to sustainable development in the sector. This study aims to examine the impact of integrated farming methods and farmer training on farmer welfare and agricultural sustainability in Central Java. The findings will contribute to the existing literature on sustainable agriculture and offer practical recommendations for policy makers, agricultural organisations, and farmers to improve agricultural practices in the region.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Integrated Farming Systems

Integrated farming systems (IFS) represent a holistic approach to agriculture that combines various agricultural practices to enhance productivity, resource efficiency, and sustainability. According to [25], [26], IFS integrates crop and livestock production, aquaculture, and agroforestry in a synergistic manner, leading to improved resource utilization and reduced environmental impact. This system fosters biodiversity, enhances nutrient cycling, and minimizes waste by utilizing by-products from one component as inputs for another. Several studies have shown that IFS can significantly improve yields, increase farmers' income, and enhance food security [27], [28]. The adoption of IFS is particularly relevant in the context of smallholder farmers in developing regions like Central Java, where resource limitations and environmental challenges are prevalent. By diversifying production and optimizing the use of available resources, IFS can help smallholder farmers adapt to changing climatic conditions while ensuring economic viability [29]. Moreover, integrated farming practices can contribute to the mitigation of climate change impacts through enhanced carbon sequestration and reduced greenhouse gas emissions [29].

Farmer Training and Education

Farmer training and education are critical components for the successful implementation of integrated farming systems. Effective training programs can equip farmers with the necessary knowledge and skills to adopt new practices and technologies [18], [30]. Training enhances farmers' understanding of sustainable agricultural practices, pest management, and resource conservation, enabling them to make informed decisions that positively impact their productivity and welfare [18]. Research by [19] emphasizes the importance of tailored training programs that address the specific needs and challenges faced by farmers in different contexts. By providing practical knowledge and hands-on experiences, these programs can significantly enhance farmers' capacity to implement integrated farming practices effectively. Additionally, continuous education and skill development are essential to keep pace with technological advancements and evolving agricultural practices [21], [22].

Farmer Welfare

Farmer welfare encompasses a broad range of factors, including economic well-being, social status, and overall quality of life. Improved welfare is often associated with increased

agricultural productivity, better access to resources, and enhanced food security [31], [32]. The integration of sustainable farming practices and effective training programs can lead to improved farmer welfare by increasing income, reducing production costs, and enhancing market access [33], [34]. Studies indicate that adopting integrated farming systems can lead to higher income levels for farmers, as these systems promote diversified income sources and improved resilience against market fluctuations [35]. Furthermore, enhanced welfare contributes to greater community development, social cohesion, and improved health outcomes for farming households [31], [33], [35].

Agricultural Sustainability

Agricultural sustainability refers to the capacity of agricultural systems to maintain productivity and ecological health over the long term. Sustainable agricultural practices are essential for ensuring food security, conserving natural resources, and mitigating environmental degradation [31]. The integration of sustainable practices into farming systems, such as organic farming, agroecology, and precision agriculture, can significantly enhance agricultural sustainability [32]. Research highlights the positive relationship between integrated farming practices and agricultural sustainability. By optimizing resource use, enhancing biodiversity, and reducing chemical inputs, IFS contribute to maintaining ecosystem services and promoting environmental health [34]. Moreover, sustainable practices can improve soil health, water conservation, and pest management, ultimately leading to resilient agricultural systems capable of withstanding external pressures [35].

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework guiding this study is based on the concept of sustainable livelihoods, which emphasizes the interconnection between farming practices, training, and welfare outcomes. The Sustainable Livelihoods Framework (SLF) posits that enhancing farmers' assets (human, social, natural, physical, and financial) through integrated farming and training can lead to improved livelihoods and sustainability (Scoones, 1998). By applying this framework, the study aims to explore how integrated farming methods and farmer training influence farmer welfare and agricultural sustainability in Central Java.

METHODS

Research Design

This study employs a quantitative research design to investigate the effects of integrated farming methods and farmer training on farmer welfare and agricultural sustainability in Central Java. The quantitative approach allows for the collection and statistical analysis of data to identify relationships and draw conclusions based on empirical evidence. A cross-sectional survey method is used to gather data from farmers, providing a snapshot of their experiences and perceptions regarding integrated farming practices and training programs.

Population and Sample

The target population for this study consists of farmers in Central Java who are engaged in various agricultural activities. A sample of 75 farmers is selected using a purposive sampling technique, focusing on those who have participated in integrated farming practices and training programs. This sampling method ensures that the selected participants have relevant experiences that can provide insights into the research questions. The sample size is deemed adequate for statistical analysis, allowing for reliable results.

Data Collection

Data is collected through a structured questionnaire covering Integrated Farming Methods, Farmer Training, Farmer Welfare, and Agricultural Sustainability. Questions focus on the adoption of farming practices, training effectiveness, income levels, access to resources, and perceptions of sustainability. The questionnaire is developed from existing literature and validated through a pilot study for clarity and relevance. Data collection is conducted via face-to-face interviews to ensure high response rates and clear understanding.

Data Analysis

Data analysis is conducted using SPSS version 26, utilizing both descriptive and inferential statistical methods. Descriptive statistics summarize the demographic characteristics of the sample, such as age, gender, education level, and farming experience, along with key variables like integrated farming practices, training experiences, and welfare outcomes. Inferential statistics, including correlation and regression analysis, are used to explore the relationships between variables and assess the significance of the findings. Pearson's correlation coefficient evaluates the strength and direction of the relationships between integrated farming methods, farmer training, and welfare, while multiple regression analysis examines the effects of integrated farming and training on welfare and agricultural sustainability, highlighting the contributions of each independent variable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive Statistics

The demographic characteristics of the 75 farmers surveyed in Central Java are presented in Table 1. The sample comprises a diverse group of participants, with a gender distribution of 60% male and 40% female. The average age of the farmers is 45 years, with a majority (55%) having completed secondary education. Additionally, the average farming experience among the respondents is 12 years, indicating a relatively experienced sample.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Frequency (n=75)	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	45	60
Female	30	40
Age		
18-30	10	13.3
31-45	25	33.3
46-60	30	40
61 and above	10	13.3
Education Level		
Primary	15	20
Secondary	41	55
Higher Education	19	25
Farming Experience (years)		
Mean	12	

Source: Data Analysis, 2024

Integrated Farming Methods and Farmer Training

The study assessed the adoption of integrated farming methods among the respondents, revealing that 78% of farmers have adopted some form of integrated farming, with crop rotation (65%), intercropping (58%), and livestock integration (54%) being the most common practices. Additionally, 72% of farmers reported participating in training programs focused on integrated

farming systems. The effectiveness of these training programs, evaluated through farmers' perceptions on a Likert scale of 1 to 5, averaged 4.1, indicating a positive impact on enhancing farmers' knowledge and skills in integrated farming practices.

Farmer Welfare

The study examined various aspects of farmer welfare, including income levels, access to resources, and overall quality of life. Results indicated that farmers practicing integrated farming reported an average monthly income of IDR 4,500,000, significantly higher than the IDR 3,200,000 reported by those not engaged in integrated practices. Statistical analysis confirmed a significant positive correlation ($r = 0.454$, $p < 0.01$) between integrated farming methods and income levels. Additionally, access to resources, such as credit facilities and market information, was notably better among farmers who participated in training programs, with 68% of trained farmers reporting improved access to financial resources, compared to only 40% of untrained farmers. These findings suggest that integrated farming practices and training significantly enhance farmer welfare by increasing income and access to resources.

Agricultural Sustainability

The assessment of agricultural sustainability focused on farmers' perceptions of sustainability practices and their environmental impact, showing that farmers engaged in integrated farming had a more favorable view, with an average Likert scale rating of 4.3, compared to 3.2 among those not using integrated methods. Regression analysis revealed a statistically significant positive relationship ($\beta = 0.385$, $p < 0.01$) between integrated farming and sustainability, indicating that such practices improve environmental outcomes. Additionally, farmer training had a positive influence on sustainability ($\beta = 0.294$, $p < 0.05$), underscoring the importance of education in promoting sustainable agricultural practices.

Discussion

The findings of this study align with existing literature on the benefits of integrated farming systems and farmer training. The significant adoption of integrated farming practices among the respondents underscores the potential of these methods to enhance agricultural productivity and farmer welfare. The positive correlation between integrated farming and increased income levels supports previous research indicating that diversified farming approaches can lead to economic benefits for farmers [31], [32].

Moreover, the effectiveness of farmer training programs in enhancing knowledge and skills aligns with the findings of [33], who emphasized the importance of tailored training in promoting sustainable practices. The study's results demonstrate that training not only improves farmers' capabilities but also contributes to better access to resources, ultimately leading to improved welfare.

The positive relationship between integrated farming and agricultural sustainability highlights the critical role of these practices in promoting environmentally friendly farming. The findings reinforce the notion that integrated farming systems can mitigate environmental degradation and enhance the resilience of agricultural systems [34], [35]. By fostering a holistic approach to farming, integrated practices can ensure long-term sustainability, benefitting both farmers and the environment.

CONCLUSION

This study provides critical insights into the relationship between integrated farming methods, farmer training, and their impacts on farmer welfare and agricultural sustainability in Central Java. The empirical evidence highlights the significant benefits of adopting integrated farming practices, which not only enhance farmers' income levels but also improve their overall

quality of life. Furthermore, the positive influence of farmer training underscores the importance of equipping farmers with the necessary skills and knowledge to implement sustainable practices effectively.

The findings suggest that policymakers and agricultural organizations should prioritize the promotion of integrated farming systems and invest in comprehensive training programs to empower farmers. By doing so, they can foster an agricultural environment that supports economic viability while ensuring environmental sustainability. The research reinforces the idea that sustainable agricultural practices, when combined with adequate training, can lead to resilient farming systems capable of adapting to challenges and contributing to the well-being of farming communities in Central Java. Future studies could further explore the long-term impacts of these practices and training on agricultural productivity and sustainability, providing a broader understanding of their implications for rural development.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Judijanto, M. Machzomy, S. Rahayu, and D. A. Suryaningrum, "The Effect of Climate Change and Sustainable Agricultural Practices on Productivity and Food Security in Rural Areas in East Java," *West Science Interdisciplinary Studies*, vol. 1, no. 12, pp. 1461–1470, 2023.
- [2] A. Ropik *et al.*, "Menanamkan Semangat dan Self-Motivation pada Anak Korban Bencana Melalui Metode Bermain dan Edukasi," *East Journal of Innovative Community Services*, vol. 2, no. 02, pp. 58–62, 2024.
- [3] T. P. Nugrahanti and A. S. Jahja, "Audit judgment performance: The effect of performance incentives, obedience pressures and ethical perceptions," *Journal of Environmental Accounting and Management*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 225–234, 2018.
- [4] P. Rahmatullah and W. Gunawan, "Integration of the balanced scorecard and FAO SAFA for assessing the sustainability of horticultural production in Jawa Barat," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, IOP Publishing, 2024, p. 12001.
- [5] S. Moozanah, N. Rusdiansyah, D. M. Rosyidah, and M. Riany, "Profit and Sustainability Perceptions Related to the Implementation of Blue Accounting in the Fishing Industry in Palabuhanratu," *Journal of Accounting Auditing and Business*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2024.
- [6] H. Ashari, T. P. Nugrahanti, and B. J. Santoso, "The role of microfinance institutions during the COVID-19 pandemic," *Global Business and Economics Review*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 210–233, 2024.
- [7] L. Judijanto and E. Silamat, "Effectiveness of No-till Farming, Cover Cropping, and Crop Rotation in Improving the Sustainability of the Agricultural Sector in West Java," *West Science Nature and Technology*, vol. 2, no. 02, pp. 91–101, 2024.
- [8] W. Waluyo, Y. A. Nurohman, R. S. Qurniawati, A. Waluyo, and F. A. Ahzar, "PENINGKATAN EKONOMI PETANI DAN KOMUNITAS PETERNAK MELALUI POLA SYIRKAH DI KECAMATAN KALORAN KABUPATEN TEMANGGUNG," *ABDI MAKARTI*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 65–72, 2024.
- [9] F. Sarie and I. Harsono, "Measuring the impact of pesticide use, labor availability, and agricultural technology on vegetable farming efficiency in central Java," *West Science Interdisciplinary Studies*, vol. 2, no. 01, pp. 244–253, 2024.
- [10] R. Bhagat, S. S. Walia, K. Sharma, R. Singh, G. Singh, and A. Hossain, "The integrated farming system is an environmentally friendly and cost-effective approach to the sustainability of agri-food systems in the modern era of the changing climate: A comprehensive review," *Food and Energy Security*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. e534, 2024.
- [11] P. Bhati *et al.*, "Integrated Farming Systems for Environment Sustainability: A Comprehensive Review," *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 143–155, 2024.

- [12] R. P. Soni, M. Katoch, and R. Ladohia, "Integrated farming systems-a review," *IOSR J Agric Vet Sci*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 36–42, 2014.
- [13] L. A. Rifaldi *et al.*, "Fun Learning Sebagai Upaya Pembelajaran Siswa di Desa Caringin Kecamatan Cisolok Kabupaten Sukabumi," *Eastasouth Journal of Positive Community Services*, vol. 2, no. 03, pp. 150–157, 2024.
- [14] H. Ashari and T. P. Nugrahanti, "Household economy challenges in fulfilling life needs during the Covid-19 pandemic," *Global Business and Economics Review*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 21–39, 2021.
- [15] K. J. Raghavendra *et al.*, "Unraveling determinants of integrated farming systems adoption for sustainable livelihood and dietary diversity," *Front Nutr*, vol. 11, p. 1264658, 2024.
- [16] P. M. Shanmugam *et al.*, "Crop–livestock-integrated farming system: A strategy to achieve synergy between agricultural production, nutritional security, and environmental sustainability," *Front Sustain Food Syst*, vol. 8, p. 1338299, 2024.
- [17] A. Salida and N. Rusdiansyah, "Exploring Social and Environmental Accounting Reporting Through Jurgen Habermas's Critical Theory," *West Science Interdisciplinary Studies*, vol. 1, no. 08, pp. 552–564, 2023.
- [18] B. Mbesa, J. Makindara, M. Kadigi, R. Majubwa, and R. Madege, "Effect of training on knowledge, attitude, and practice on the use of hermetic storage technologies among smallholder farmers in Tanzania," *African Journal of Empirical Research*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 881–893, 2024.
- [19] E. Raji, T. I. Ijomah, and O. G. Eyieyien, "Improving agricultural practices and productivity through extension services and innovative training programs," *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 1297–1309, 2024.
- [20] I. Agustina, H. Khuan, B. Aditi, S. A. Sitorus, and T. P. Nugrahanti, "Renewable energy mix enhancement: the power of foreign investment and green policies," *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 370–380, 2023.
- [21] B. A. G. Cayabyab, E. P. Serrano, M. A. T. Quimbo, and F. C. Calalo, "Effectiveness of Application of Knowledge of Agricultural Training Among Farmer-Scientist Training Participants in the Philippines," *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 111–123, 2024.
- [22] S. C. Pandey, P. Modi, V. Pereira, and S. Fosso Wamba, "Empowering small farmers for sustainable agriculture: a human resource approach to SDG-driven training and innovation," *Int J Manpow*, 2024.
- [23] R. A. Santoso and N. Rusdiansyah, "Analisis Bibliometrik Tren Kolaborasi Penelitian antar Peneliti terkait dengan Audit Eksternal suatu Bisnis serta Instansi Pemerintah di Indonesia (Tahun 2018-2023)," *Jurnal Aktiva: Riset Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 10–16, 2023.
- [24] T. P. Nugrahanti and A. S. Pratiwi, "The Remote Auditing and Information Technology," *Journal of Accounting and Business Education*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 15–39, 2023.
- [25] O. H. Ologunde, S. K. Bello, and M. A. Busari, "Integrated agricultural system: A dynamic concept for improving soil quality," *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, 2024.
- [26] G. I. Patil, S. Ruli, and K. Veeresh, "Impact Assessment of Integrated Farming System Project on the Socio-economic Status of the Beneficiary Farmers of Bidar District of Karnataka," *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 165–176, 2024.
- [27] A. Yadav, R. Upadhyay, and D. Solanki, "Identification of the existing integrated farming systems in Udaipur district of Rajasthan," *Plant Science Today*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2024.
- [28] R. Chuanrum and R. P. Shrestha, "Role of integrated farming systems in land degradation control and plant diversity enhancement: A case of northeast Thailand," *Farming System*, vol. 2, no. 3, p. 100086, 2024.
- [29] H. T. Azmi, W. K. Putri, S. Soeparjono, and M. N. Khozin, "INISIASI INTEGRATED FARMING SYSTEM (IFS) BAGI PETANI HORTIKULTURA DI DESA MAYANGAN,

- KECAMATAN GUMUKMAS KABUPATEN JEMBER," *PAPUMA: Journal of Community Services*, vol. 2, no. 02, pp. 75–85, 2024.
- [30] A. B. Ayanwale, A. A. Adekunle, A. D. Kehinde, and O. A. Fatunbi, "Networking and training for IMPROVEMENT of farm income: A case of lifelong learning (L3F) approach in West Africa," *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2024.
- [31] N. Chandel, A. Kumar, and R. Kumar, "Towards Sustainable Agriculture: Integrating Agronomic Practices, Environmental Physiology and Plant Nutrition," *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 492–503, 2024.
- [32] T. Yeap, "Sustainable Agriculture: Mitigating Greenhouse Gas Emissions through Enhanced Strip-Tilling," *Journal ISSN*, vol. 2766, p. 2276, 2024.
- [33] Y. Kamakaula, "Sustainable Agriculture Practices: Economic, Ecological, and Social Approaches to Enhance Farmer Welfare and Environmental Sustainability," *West Science Nature and Technology*, vol. 2, no. 02, pp. 47–54, 2024.
- [34] M. Huzenko and S. Kononenko, "Sustainable Agriculture: Impact on Public Health and Sustainable Development," *Health Economics and Management Review*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 125–150, 2024.
- [35] N. Kumari, A. K. Pandey, A. K. Singh, and A. Singh, "Sustainable Agriculture: Balancing Productivity and Environmental Stewardship for Future Generations," *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 629–639, 2024.